Journal of Organometallic Chemistry, 349 (1988) 11-22 Elsevier Sequoia S.A., Lausanne – Printed in The Netherlands

Chemistry of 1,1,4,4-tetramethyl-1,4-diazonia-2,5-diboratacyclohexane

Norman E. Miller and Don L. Reznicek

Chemistry Department, University of South Dakota, Vermillion, SD 57069 (U.S.A.) (Received January 21st, 1988)

Abstract

Reactivity of 1,1,4,4-tetramethyl-1,4-diazonia-2,5-diboratacyclohexane toward a variety of substances was surveyed. The halogenation to 2-iodo and 2,5-diiodo derivatives is of particular interest because subsequent iodide displacements allow substitutional elaboration of the ring system. A novel cleavage reaction of esters by the 2-iodo derivative gives stable, neutral, oxygen-bonded derivatives.

Since the discovery of 1,1,4,4-tetramethyl-1,4-diazonia-2,5-diboratacyclohexane (1), reported in 1964 [1], information related to structure [2] and syntheses [3] has

$$H_2B \qquad NMe_2$$

$$Me_2N \qquad BH_2$$
(1)

accumulated. Several substituted systems have also been prepared indirectly, and the study of the chemistry of these has been initiated [4]. Less is known of the chemistry of the parent ring system itself. A survey was made of its reactivity with a range of reagents, and the results are herein reported. New chemistry and derivatives were found in the study of halogenation and halo products, and in the reaction with diborane.

Discussion

The selection of reagents to survey was to some extent guided by the expectation that ring opening, at least under forcing conditions, would lead to trigonal borane and amine functionalities; 1, however, was inert to most reagents examined. One striking example is the quantitative recovery of 1 and methyl chloride after heating 19 h in a sealed tube at 240 °C. Other similar results, along with those reactions which were observed, are collected in Table 1. An astonishing stability, first

2

Table	1
-------	---

Reagent/amount (mmol)	Amount 1 (mmol)	Conditions	Observations
Chlorine/excess	1.85	CH ₂ Cl ₂ solvent	Tetrachloro-1 produced, 99% yield
Bromine/excess	0.72	$CH_2Cl_2/Na_2CO_3/2$ days	Tetrabromo/tribromo-1
Iodine (See Experime	ental)		
$B_2 H_6 / 0.82$	0.25	18 h, 70 ° C, ampoule	1, and uncharacterized residue
$B_2H_6/1.78$, and	0.689	18 h, 180 ° C	125 mg, 100% yield (Et 3NH) 2B12H12
$Et_3NBH_3/0.3 ml$		13 ml ampoule	
Et ₃ N/5.9	0.59	11 h, 180 ° C	1, Et_3N recovered
$Me_{3}B/0.56$	0.56	8 h, 165 ° C	1, BMe ₃ recovered
$Me_{3}P/0.66$	0.32	3 h, 290 ° C, ampoule	1, 0.52 mmol Me_3P recovered
CH ₃ Cl/1.24	0.53	19 h, 240 ° C	1.24 mmol CH ₃ Cl, 0.53 mmol 1 recovered
$D_20/1 \text{ ml}$	0.37	few drops 6 <i>M</i> KOH, EtOH, r.t., 6 days	0.30 mmol 1 recovered
Ethylene/0.684	0.35	19 h, 240 ° C	0.67 mmol ethylene,
<i>y y</i>			0.33 mmol 1 recovered
$C_5 H_6 / 0.33$	0.32	8 h, 300 ° C	liquid and black solid, 0.13 mmol 1, no other BH-containing product
Me ₂ NC(O)Cl/2.33	1.05	13 h, 180 ° C	0.4 mmol dichloro- and tri-chloro-1
Me ₃ NBH ₂ I/0.758	0.418	46 h, 118°C	no Me_3BH_2I recovered.
			36.5 mg mixture 1 and Me ₃ NBH ₃
			0.082 mmol 2-iodo-1
			0.060 mmol 2,5-diiodo-1

Reactivity survey of $Me_2 NCH_2BH_2NMe_2CH_2BH_2$ (1)

suggested by thermal study [3c], is confirmed by the survey. The reactions with halogens, diborane, and carbamoyl chloride, may be classified as substitutions.

Deuterium/hydrogen exchange with B_2D_6 was effected by contact at 70 °C for 14 h. A 90% recovery of partly deuterated 1 and diborane was observed. The intensity of the BD stretch in the infrared at 1730 cm⁻¹ indicated about the same deuterium content as in the charge, suggestive of statistical incorporation. The mechanism for the exchange likely involves hydrogen bridging with borane- d_3 (BD₃).

Reaction of iodine with 1 in chloroform proceeds with gas (assumed to be hydrogen) evolution and formation of the monoiodo 2 or diiodo 3 derivatives, depending on stoichiometry and reaction time. Identification of the 2,5-diiodo derivative is based on its infrared spectrum (a singlet instead of multiplet BH expected for a 2,2-diiodo derivative), and iodide displacement to the 2,5-bis-DMF- 1^{2+} derivative. Either diiodo diastereomer, *cis* or *trans*, would be expected to give a doublet ring *N*-methyl resonance; but the small separation (2.69 and 2.71 ppm) is concordant with the smaller separation of the *trans* isomer of the 2,5-bis(neopentyl) substituted ring [5]. Other halogens lead to more halogenated species. Tetrachloro (4) and tetrabromo (5) derivatives were characterized.

Displacement reactions of $Me_2 NCH_2 BH_2 NMe_2 CH_2 BHI$ (2)

The facile iodide displacement reaction of Me_3NBH_2I [6] does not obtain for 1. Only unhindered Lewis bases react at room temperature (eq. 1); pyridine (py), pyridine-*N*-oxide (pyO), dimethylformamide (DMF), and *N*, *N*-dimethylacetamide (DMA) form the corresponding cations 6, 7, 8, and 9. Me_2NH and Me_3P give some evidence of reaction at room temperature, but NMe₃ does not. Bonding in 8 and 9 is

$$H_{2}B \longrightarrow NMe_{2} + L \longrightarrow H_{2}B \longrightarrow NMe_{2}^{+} + I^{-} (1)$$

$$H_{2}B \longrightarrow NMe_{2}^{-} + I^{-} (1)$$

assigned B-O-C=NMe₂(R) because of nonequivalent N-methyl resonances, similar to those in the related borane cations LBH₂NMe₃⁺ [7].

One dication, 10, was synthesized by iodide displacement from 3 by DMF.

$$\begin{array}{c} LHB \underbrace{\qquad NMe_2 \\ Me_2N \end{array} \begin{array}{c} BHL \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 2 \\ BHL \end{array}$$

Ester cleavage by 2

A rather interesting reaction was discovered for the monoiodo derivative, 1, as a consequence of its lack of reaction with trimethylamine. Heating of 2 in methyl formate in the presence of trimethylamine (to serve as an activator of carbonyl and/or sink for Me⁺) gives dealkylation of the ester (eq. 2).

$$H_{2B} \longrightarrow NMe_{2} \qquad || \qquad \qquad H_{2B} \longrightarrow NMe_{2} \qquad 0 \\ H_{2} \longrightarrow HI \qquad + MeOCH \qquad + Me_{3}N \qquad \rightarrow \qquad H_{2B} \longrightarrow NMe_{2} \qquad 0 \\ H_{2} \longrightarrow BHI \qquad + Me_{4}N^{+}I^{-} \qquad (2) \\ Me_{2}N \longrightarrow BHO - CH \qquad + Me_{4}N^{+}I^{-} \qquad (2)$$
(11)

Identity of the formate, 11, was established by NMR and mass spectral data, and by the synthesis method. Impetus for the investigation of this reaction came from attempts to synthesize 11, a compound which had been isolated in a one-time, nonreproducible reaction of the 2-dimethylaminomethyl derivative of 1 and methylene chloride. After failure to repeat the latter reaction using various sources of materials and impurities, the alternate synthesis described was undertaken.

Extension of the dealkylation reaction to DMA and dimethyl phosphite gave acetyloxy and methyl phosphite derivatives 12 and 13 as liquids stable in the air.



Use of ethyl formate instead of methyl formate also led to the 2-formyloxy derivative in fair yield, so a general reaction is implicated.

The mass spectral data for 11, 12, and 13 have P-1 high mass clusters expected for the assigned compositions. When these spectra are compared with those of other oxygen-linked derivatives of 1, structural themes emerge in the fragmentation

	Compound	m.p. (°C)	Yield	Analys	es (Four	nd (Caled	((%))	¹ H NMR	", å(H) (ppm)	
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $			(%)	U	H	z	8	Solvent		
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1-py ⁺ PF ₆ ⁻	185-189	60	36.35	6.31	11.80	5.39	CH_2CI_2	NMe	2.60, 2.63, 2.76
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	(6PF ₆ ⁻)			36.20	6.62	11.52	5.92		NC ₅ H ₅	8.0-8.5
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1-Opy ⁺ PF ₆	167-168	72 2	35.10	6.33	10.76		CH_2CI_2	NMe	(2.72, 2.76, 2.65) (12)
$ \begin{split} Me_2 NCH_2 BH_2 NMe_2 CH_2 BHMe_2 N=CH0^+ PF_6^-, 109-111 & 40 & 2991 & 7.31 & 11.72 & CH_2 & NMe & 2.66 (12) \\ 1-DMF^+ PF_6^- (8 PF_6^-) & 20.12 & 7.31 & 11.72 & CH_2 & 2.15 (broad) (3.8) & CH_3 & 2.66 (12) & CH_3 & 2.54 & 7.53 & 10.72 & CH_2 & NMe & 2.66 (12) & CH_3 & 0.09 & 0.01 & 0.0$	(7 PF_6^{-})			34.68	6.35	11.03			CH_2	2.19 (broad) (3.5)
$ \begin{split} & Me_3NCH_2BH_3NMe_2CH_2BHMe_3N=CH0^+ PF_6^-, 109-111 40 2991 7.31 11.72 CH_2Cl_2 NMe 2.66 (12) \\ & 1.DMF^+ PF_6^- (8\ PF_6^-) \\ & 1.DMF^+ PF_6^- (8\ PF_6^-) \\ & Me_3NCH_2BH_2NMe_2CH_2BHMe_3N=C(CH_3)O^+ PF_6^- & 139 & 48 & 32.54 & 7.53 & 10.72 & CH_2 & 8.03 (0.9) \\ & \mathsf{Me_3NCH_2BH_2NMe_2CH_2BHMe_3N=C(CH_3)O^+ PF_6^- & 139 & 48 & 32.54 & 7.53 & 10.72 & CH_2 & 8.03 (0.9) \\ & \mathsf{Me_3NCH_2BH_2NMe_2CH_2BHMe_3N=C(CH_3)O^+ PF_6^- & 139 & 48 & 32.54 & 7.53 & 10.72 & CH_2 & 8.03 (0.9) \\ & \mathsf{Me_3NCH_2BH_2NMe_2CH_2BHMe_3N=C(CH_3)O^+ PF_6^- & 139 & 48 & 32.54 & 7.57 & 11.27 & CH_2 & 2.02 (broad) (3.8) \\ & \mathsf{LDMA^+ PF_6^- (9\ PF_6^-) \\ & LDMA^+ PF_6^- (9\ PF_6^-) \\ & \mathsf{LDMA^+ PF_6^- (9\ PF_6^-) \\ & \mathsf$									NC ₅ H ₅	8.0-8.4 (4.6)
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Me ₂ NCH ₂ BH ₂ NMe ₂ CH ₂ BHMe ₂ N=CHO ⁺ PF ₆ ⁻ ,	109-111	6	29.91	7.37	11.20		CH ₂ Cl ₂	NMe	2.66 (12)
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$				30.12	7.31	11.72			CH_2	2.15 (broad) (3.8)
$ \begin{split} Me_2 \overline{NCH_2 BH_2 NMe_2 CH_2 BHMe_2} N=C(CH_3) O^+ \overline{P_6}^- & 139 & 48 & 32.54 & 7.53 & 10.72 & CH_2 C1_2 & NMe & (2.63, 2.66) (12) \\ 1-DMA^+ \overline{P_6}^- (9 \ \overline{P_6}^-) & 32.21 & 7.57 & 11.27 & CH_2 & 2.02 (broad) (3.8) \\ 1-DMA^+ \overline{P_6}^- (9 \ \overline{P_6}^-) & (3.19, 3.30) (6) & (2.01, 2.4) & (2.91, 2.79) \\ 1-NMe_3^+ \ \overline{P_6}^- & (3.19, 3.20) & (3.10, 3.30) (6) & (3.10, 3.30$	$1-DMF^+ PF_6 - (8 PF_6 -)$								CH=NMe ₂	(3.20, 3.25) (6.3)
$ \begin{split} Me_2 \check{NCH_2}BH_2Nec_2CH_2\tilde{B}HMe_2N = C(CH_3)O^+PF_6^- & 139 & 48 & 32.54 & 7.53 & 10.72 & CH_2(12 & NMe & (2.63, 2.66) (12) \\ & 32.21 & 7.57 & 11.27 & CH_2(12 & 2.02 (broad) (3.8) & CH_2(12) & $									CH	8.03 (0.9)
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Me ₂ NCH ₂ BH ₂ NMe ₂ CH ₂ BHMe ₂ N=C(CH ₃)0 ⁺ PF ₆ ⁻	139	48	32.54	7.53	10.72		CH ₂ Cl ₂	NMe	(2.63, 2.66) (12)
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$				32.21	7.57	11.27			CH_2	2.02 (broad) (3.8)
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$1-DMA^+ PF_6^- (9 PF_6^-)$								C=NMe ₂	(3.19, 3.30) (6)
1 -NMe ₃ ⁺ PF ₆ ⁻ 168-170 29 31.32 8.35 12.11 CD ₃ CN NMe (2.91, 2.79, 2.79, 2.16) (14-PF ₆ ⁻) 31.34 8.18 12.18 2.69, 2.61) (13) $1-PMe_3^+ PF_6^-$ NMe ₃ 2.12 (9) NMe ₃ 2.12 (9) $1-PMe_3^+ PF_6^-$ 2.987 7.27 7.72 2.12 (9) $(15-PF_6^-)$ 2.087 7.80 7.74									OC(CH ₃)=N	2.41 (3.4)
(14- F_6^-) 31.34 8.18 12.18 2.69, 2.61) (13) 1- $PMe_3^+ PF_6^-$ 2.3 29.87 7.27 7.72 2.12 (9) (15- PF_6^-) 2.9.87 7.80 7.74 2.12 (9)	1-NMe ₃ ⁺ PF ₆	168-170	29	31.32	8.35	12.11		CD	NMe	(2.91, 2.79,
$I-PMe_3^{+} PF_6^{-} \qquad 23 29.87 7.27 7.72 \qquad NMe_3 2.12 (9)$ (15- PF_6^{-}) $29.87 7.80 7.74$	$(14-PF_6^-)$			31.34	8.18	12.18				2.69, 2.61) (13)
$1-PMe_3^{+} PF_6^{-} 23 29.87 7.27 7.72 (15-PF_6^{-}) 29.87 7.80 7.74$									NMe ₃	2.12 (9)
$(15-PF_6^-)$ 29.87 7.80 7.74	$1-PMe_3^+ PF_6^-$		23	29.87	7.27	7.72				
	(15-PF ₆ ⁻)			29.87	7.80	7.74				

Me₂NCH₂BH₂NMe₂CH₂BH-L⁺, L-1⁺, PF₆⁻ salts

Table 2

patterns (Table 3). $C_6H_{17}N_2OB_2$ and $C_3H_{10}NOB_2$ fragments are significant for all species studied, suggestive of stable, probably cyclic structures. For 11, 12, and 13, fragment compositions are present that can be reconciled to structures with O-C-O or O-P-O bridges, and this may indicate a common proclivity in the fragmentation of acyloxy species. The intense, common m/e 112 peak (and corresponding ¹⁰B-containing m/e 111 peak) and the similar m/e 98 peak have compositions reconcilable with B-O-B-bridged heterocycles. Finally, a m/e 113 and corresponding 112 peak (not shown in Table 3 because they contain no oxygen) are present at moderate intensity in all the BCN-sequenced derivatives examined. Its composition as $C_5H_{14}N_2B$ is not as easily rationalized. A conjugated structure, $[Me_2N=BHCH=NMe_2]^+$, has enormous appeal, if not valence significance, as a plausible structure for this peak. All the formula compositions are confirmed by the correct masses and intensities of isotopic isomers, including (generally) that of the corresponding ¹³CC_{n-1}-containing isomer for each C_n cluster.

When the ester cleavage reaction is conducted in toluene instead of neat ester, at 60° C with near stoichiometric amount of ester and a small excess of amine, the dealkylation product yield diminishes and cation 14 is isolated (eq. 3). In the less polar medium, iodide displacement by trimethylamine becomes dominant.

$$H_{2}B \longrightarrow NMe_{2} + NMe_{3} \longrightarrow H_{2}B \longrightarrow NMe_{2}$$

$$Me_{2}N \longrightarrow BHI + NMe_{3} \longrightarrow H_{2}B \longrightarrow NMe_{2}$$

$$Me_{2}N \longrightarrow BHNMe_{3} + I^{-}$$
(3)
(14)

Displacement was also observed under similar conditions with trimethylphosphine to give the corresponding cation $Me_2NCH_2BH_2NMe_2CH_2BHPMe_3^+$ (15), as the iodide salt. Isolation and characterization of these cations help the long term study of the stereochemistry of the parent ring. Since NMe₃ is sterically analogous to t-butyl, known to be conformationally pure equatorial in cyclohexane [8], it is reasonable to assume that the 2-NMe₃⁺ and 2-PMe₃⁺ cations are similarly locked equatorial derivatives. Study of reactions at the remote BH₂ of these species could then relate to axial/equatorial differences and correlate inversion or retention processes.

The 2-formyloxy and 2-acetyloxy derivatives of 1 are completely stable at room temperature in the air, a property recently noted in a acetyloxy-substituted derivatives of 2-dimethylaminomethyl-1 [9].

Experimental

Where required, standard vacuum line procedures were employed. A line equipped with Delmar–Urry o-ring joints and Teflon[®] needle stopcocks, with pumping capability to 10⁻⁵ mmHg noncondensible gas, provided for reactions, transfer, and sublimations. Melting points (uncorrected) were measured in a Thomas–Hoover capillary melting point apparatus. Analyses were obtained from: Alfred Bernhardt Microanalytical Laboratory, Mülheim, West Germany; Midwest Microlab Inc., Indianopolis; Peninsular Chem Research, Gainesville, FL; and Schwarzkopf Microanalytical Laboratory, Woodside, NY. Low resolution NMR were obtained with a Varian A60-A and a Hitachi/Perkin–Elmer R24B instrument. High resolution NMR and mass spectral data were obtained from regional instrumentation centers

MeOBCH2 NMe2 Me2NCH2BH2 ĊH₂NMe₂ 5.5 1 I HOBCH2 NMe2 Me2NCH2BH2 CH₂NMe₂ 29.8 ł ł 13 1-O₂POMe(H) 1.4 11 61 1-0,CMe Intensity in spectrum of 10.9 12 ŧ I 1-0₂CH 11.7 = ţ ١ .NMe₂ OMe oMe O Me₂N BH Plausible structure H2B Me2Nò Že, H₂B C₅H₁₇NO₃P¹¹B₂ $C_4H_{15}NO_3P^{11}B_2$ $C_6H_{17}N_2O^{11}B_2$ Composition 155.1527 192.1132 178.0976 m/e, calcd.

Mass spectral fragments of oxygen-linked derivatives of 1

Table 3



(acknowledged later). Infrared spectra were obtained on Perkin–Elmer 237B, Beckman IR12, and Beckman 4240 spectrometers. Infrared spectral data are reported in cm^{-1} , and are for mineral oil mulls; absorptions masked by mulling agent are not reported. Proton NMR chemical shifts are in ppm downfield from internal tetramethylsilane with relative proton areas in parentheses.

Ethereal solvents: tetrahydrofuran (THF), 1,2-dimethoxyethane (glyme), bis[2-(2methoxyethoxyl)ethyl] ether (tetraglyme) were freed of peroxides by passage through alumina and standing over potassium hydroxide before distillation from lithium aluminium hydride (under vacuum for higher ethers). Other solvents were purified and rendered anhydrous by standard procedures [10]. DMF and DMA were distilled under vacuum from phosphorus pentoxide. Pyridine-oxide was sublimed under vacuum at 70 °C. Trimethylphosphine was prepared from ethereal methylmagnesium bromide and phosphorus trichloride and purified via the silver iodide complex [11]. Cyclopentadiene was thermally cracked from the dimer and used immediately. Other reagents were best available commercial products, used as received. Diborane(6) (Callery Chemical Co.) was essentially free of higher boranes. Diborane- d_6 (0.416 mmol, 63% yield) was prepared from sodium borohydride- d_4 (Alfa; 53.3 mg) in 1.4 ml tetraglyme solution by addition of iodine (167 mg) in 2 ml tetraglyme according to literature procedures [12].

1,1,4,4-Tetramethyl-1,4-diazonia-2,5-diboratacyclohexane (1). Compound 1 was prepared by reaction of $(Me_3N)_2BH_2^+Cl^-$ [3d] and n-butyllithium in hexane, run in 1/1.1 stoichiometry, using hexane, glyme, or THF solvents. Yields were variable, around 45 to 56%. Typically, to 2 g of salt, slurried in about 8 ml solvent in a 50-ml reactor, n-butyllithium was added under nitrogen atmosphere via syringe over 15 min with some cooling to keep at room temperature. Conducting the reaction with a small amount of added tetramethylethylenediamine did not improve the yield. Product was isolated after 11 h stirring by volatilization from the reaction flask, eventually heating to 100 °C. The white solid caught in a U-trap at -50 °C was dissolved in a small amount of ether and shaken with an equal amount of dilute hydrochloric acid. The acid wash was separated and treated with NH_4^+ PF₆⁻ to precipitate $Me_3NBH_2CH_2NHMe_2^+ PF_6^-$ in small yield (~ 5%), identified by its infrared spectrum [3d]. The ether phase was evaporated and the solid residue recrystallized from absolute ethanol (loading of 1 g per 6 ml) to effect a pure product, identified by its infrared spectrum [3d]. Recovery better than 70% was achieved.

Reactivity survey

Reactions at room temperature (halogens, D_2O) were carried out in small reaction flasks attached via o-ring joints to the vacuum line. Reactions at elevated temperature were carried out in sealed ampoules. A summary is presented in Table 1; details of experiments in which new materials were characterized are discussed in the following.

D/H exchange. B_2D_6 (0.416 mmol) and 1 (90.3 mg, 0.638 mmol) were sealed in an ampoule and heated for 14 h at 70°C. On work up, 0.006 mmol noncondensible gas (H₂?), 81.6 mg of partly deuterated 1, and 0.391 mmol of partly deuterated diborane were recovered by fractionation through traps cooled to -40 °C and -196 °C, respectively. B–D stretching infrared absorption of the partly deuterated 1 at 1730 cm⁻¹ is a multiplet. 2-Iodo-1,1,4,4-tetramethyl-1,4-diazonia-2,5-diboratacyclohexane (2). A stirred solution of 145 mg (1.02 mmol) 1 in 4 ml dry CHCl₃ was treated dropwise under nitrogen with a solution of 130 mg (0.51 mmol I_2) in 10 ml CHCl₃, over 20 min, during which gas was evolved. After stirring overnight, solvent was removed under vacuum from the now colorless solution, leaving a white, water-insoluble solid. Sublimation at 120–140 °C under dynamic high vacuum gave the *B*-monoiodo derivative, **2**. Proton NMR (CH₂Cl₂) NMe 2.86, 2.83 (unresolved doublet)(6) 2.66 (6.1); CH₂ 2.15–2.55 broad, unresolved (3.5). Infrared: 2480m sharp singlet, 2330s multiplet, 1405m, 1345w, 1300w, 1235w, 1185s doublet, 1120m, 1105m, 1030m, 1005s, 985s, 960w, 925w, 885w doublet, 850m, 820w, 745m.

2,5-Diiodo-1,1,4,4-tetramethyl-1,4-diazonia-2,5-diboratacyclohexane (3). A stirred solution of 420 mg (2.96 mmol) of 1 in 4 ml CHCl₃ was treated dropwise under nitrogen with 31 ml of CHCl₃ solution of 752 mg (2.96 mmol I₂). When 16 ml had been added, the solution became permanently brown-colored. After stirring overnight, the brown-colored precipitate was separated by filtration and washed with a small portion of solvent. Sublimation at 150 °C under high vacuum gave 633 mg 3, 55%, as a white solid which did not melt but decomposed at 198–200 °C. Anal. Found: C, 18.25; H, 4.40; N 6.99. C₆H₁₈N₂B₂I₂ calcd.: C, 18.35; H, 4.61; N, 7.12%. Infrared 2480s, 1405m, 1325w, 1300w, 1225w, 1190s, 1140w, 1120m, 1090m, 1015s, 950s, 870s, 830s, 715s.

2,2,5,5-Tetrachloro-1,1,4,4-tetramethyl-1,4-diazonia-2,5-diboratacyclohexane (4). For 0.75 h chlorine gas was bubbled slowly through a stirred solution of 263 mg (1.85 mmol) 1 in 15 ml CH₂Cl₂. A white precipitate appeared and the liquid turned yellow. After an additional 2 h stirring, the solvent was removed under vacuum to leave a pale yellow solid which whitened after a wash with a small portion of benzene; 515 mg, 99% of tetrachloro derivative 4. A purified sample was obtained after sublimation, 120–140 °C/high vacuum. It did not melt, but yellowed at 223 °C. Anal. Found: C, 26.46; H, 5.51; N 9.67. C₆H₁₆N₂B₂Cl₄ calcd.: C, 25.85; H, 5.77; N, 10.13%. Infrared: 2390vw, 1485s, 1415w, 1395w, 1365m, 1320w, 1270s, 1215w, 1180m, 1125m, 1110m, 1015w, 1045w, 1025s, 990s, 960s, 915s, 845s, 810s doublet, 700s. Mass spectral data at low resolution show a cluster centered at m/e 280 that can be rationalized by assuming 80% parent and 20% parent-1 fragments, for C₆H₁₆N₂B₂Cl₄. Intensity of the me 280 peak is 3% that of the 100% m/e 58 = Me₂NCH₂.

2,2,5,5-Tetrabromo-1,1,4,4-tetramethyl-1,4-diazona-2,5-diboratacyclohexane (5). A solution of 102 mg (0.72 mmol) of 1 in 10 ml CH_2Cl_2 was stirred with 1 g sodium carbonate under nitrogen and treated slowly with bromine via a syringe until the solution colored, then an additional 0.1 ml of bromine was added. The slurry was stirred 2 days and then filtered. The filtrate was treated with hexane to precipitate 11 mg cream-colored solid. The "sodium carbonate" insolubles were extracted thrice with 8-ml portions of CH_2Cl_2 and the washings evaporated to 10 ml volume under vacuum. About 20 ml of hexane were added, causing precipitation of 64 mg additional of the cream-colored solid for a total yield of 75 mg of product characterized as the tetrabromo derivative of 1, 23%. Sublimation under high vacuum at 130–150 °C gave 29 mg of purified product as a cream-white solid. Anal. Found: C, 16.53; H, 3.67; N, 6.22; B, 4.5; Br, 67.91. $C_6H_{16}N_2B_2Br_4$ calcd.: C, 15.76; H, 3.53; N, 6.12; B, 4.7; Br, 69.86%. Mixture of 77% tetrabromo and 23% tribromo calcd: C, 16.52; H, 3.74; N, 6.41; B, 4.9; Br, 68.3%. Infrared: 1415w, 1405w, 1330w,

1300m, 1265w, 1220w, 1180w, 1130w, 1100m, 1040w, 1010m, 990m, 950s, 900s, 825s, 765s doublet.

 $LH\overline{BCH_{2}NMe_{2}BH_{2}CH_{2}NMe_{2}^{+}}$. (6, L = py; 7, L = py-0; 8, L = DMF; 9, L =DMA; 14, $L = NMe_3$, and 15, $L = PMe_3$). Monovalent cations were synthesized by iodide displacement from 2 by two general procedures. For the unhindered ligand (all but trimethylamine and trimethylphosphine), an excess of ligand and iodo derivative were kept at room temperature for 18-24 h. Solvent was removed under vacuum, the residue leached with water, and the solution treated with NH_4^+ PF_6^- . The sparingly soluble precipitated salts were collected and recrystallized from hot water. Analyses and proton NMR spectral data are collected in Table 2. For the hindered ligands, a solution of 2 and excess ligand in toluene was heated in a sealed ampoule for 2-7 days at 60°C. An insoluble, colorless crystalline product slowly formed. Volatiles were removed and the residue worked up as before for the hexafluorophosphate salt. Infrared spectral data: $2-py-1^+ PF_6^-$ (6); 2460w, 2340m multiplet, 1625m, 1415w, 1305w, 1225w, 1185s, 1160w, 1120m, 1100w, 1060w, 1010w, 970m, 900m, 845s broad, 800w, 785m; $2-pyO^{-1} + PF_{6}^{-1}$ (7), 3140m, 2420w, 2350m multiplet, 1410w, 1340w, 1310w, 1185s doublet, 1160m, 1140s, 1130w, 1100w doublet, 1030w, 1015w, 970s, 960w, 935w, 875s, 845s broad, 810m, 785m, 745w; 2-DMF-1⁺ PF₆⁻ (8), 2420m, 2335m multiplet, 1690s broad, 1420w, 1360m, 1315w, 1255w, 1285m, 1145m, 1130m, 1120m, 1105m, 1065w, 1035w, 1015w, 1000w, 975m, 925w, 890m doublet, 850s broad, 755w; 2-DMA-1⁺ PF_6^+ (9), 2440w, 2350m multiplet, 1645s, 1410m, 1360w, 1305w, 1260w, 1185s doublet, 1160m, 1140m, 1130m, 1115w, 1105m, 1030w, 1000m doublet, 975m, 935w, 910w, 850s broad; 2-NMe₃-1⁺ PF₆⁻ (14), 2440w (2460 shoulder), 2340m (2360sh, 2390sh), 2300w, 1340w, 1305w, 1245w, 1232w, 1190-1185s doublet, 1168w, 1150w, 1130w, 1110/1100/1090w triplet, 1032w, 1005w, 990w, 980w, 968m, 840s (860, 880, 890 sh), 810w. 2-PMe₃-1⁺ PF_6^- (15), 2395w, 2360w, 2330w, 1325w, 1310m, 1260w, 1240w, 1180m (1185, 1195w shoulders), 1160w, 1135w, 1110w (1120vw shoulder), 1090w, 1052-1060w doublet, 1030w, 1005m, 980m, 955-965m doublet, 935w, 905w, 890w, 845s (875w shoulder), 760w multiplet.

2-Acyloxyl and phosphinyl derivatives, LHBCH₂NMe₂BH₂CH₂NMe₂. (11, $L = HCO_2$; 12, $L = CH_3CO_2$; 13, $L = HP(OMe)O_2$). Neutral boron-substituted derivatives of 1 were prepared in a common manner as represented here for 2-formyloxy-1 (11). An ampoule (~ 15 ml) was charged with 2-iodo derivative, 2, prepared from 78.6 mg 1 (90.55 mmol), purified by sublimation and used without reweighing. About 1.5 ml of methyl formate and 3.0 mmol trimethylamine were condensed into the ampoule under vacuum, and the ampoule was sealed. On warming, the mixture became cloudy, and a fine, white crystalline precipitate formed over 5 d. The ampoule was opened, the fluid contents were transferred to a sublimer and the solvent removed under vacuum. The residue was sublimed, 80° C/high vacuum, to give 46 mg, 45%. HCO₂HBCH₂NMe₂BH₂CH₂NMe₂ (11), as a white nonhygroscopic solid, stable in air, m.p. 72.5-74° C/ sealed capillary. Anal. Found: C, 46.01; H, 10.81; N, 14.70. C₇H₂₀N₂O₂B₂ calcd.: C, 45.23; H, 10.84; N, 15.07%. Proton NMR (360 MHz, CDCl₃): NMe 2.579, 2.599, 2.620, 2.667 (12); CHO 8.252 (0.9); CH₂ 2.0-2.4 broad (6).

The crystalline, insoluble product in the ampoule, 94 mg, was identified as primarily $Me_4N^+I^-$ by its infrared spectrum.

12 and 13 are colorless liquids, stable in air at room temperature and distilling at 0° C and 80° C, respectively, under high vacuum.

Mass spectral data for 11, 12, and 13 are listed: assigned composition, observed m/e (mmass deviation, observed-calcd.)[intensity observed/calcd., based on isotopic abundance and normalized to highest peak in cluster].

For **11**, infrared spectrum: 3400w sharp (over a broad absorption), 2740w, 2385m, 2320s, 2280w, 2250w (2210, 2230, vw sh), 1701s, 1670w sharp, 1430w, 1410w. 1335w, 1350w, 1310w, 1295m, 1250s, 1235s, 1200m shoulder, 1185s, 1150m, 1132m, 1120w, 1110m, 1102m, 1030w, 1010m, 995w shoulder, 968m, 952w, 930w, 892w sh, 880m, 858m, 830w, 745m; mass spectral data: $C_7H_{19}N_2O_2B_2$, p-1 186.1683(1.7)[7.8/3.4], 185.1633(0.0)[43.8], 184.1662(-0.7)[20.9/21.6], 183.1687 (-1.8)[3.0/2.7]; $C_6H_{17}N_2OB_2$, $p-1-CH_2O$ 155.1555(2.8)[11.7], 154.1555(-0.8) [9.0/5.7]; $C_4H_{12}NO_2B_2$ 129.1116(2.8)[0.7/0.5], 128.1077(2.3)[12.4], 127.1108(1.8) [6.5/6.1]; $C_5H_{14}N_2B$ 114.1282(-0.1)[1.0/1.0], 113.1246(-0.4)[17.5], 112.1277 (-0.9)[4.2/4.3]; $C_4H_{12}NOB$ 112.1098(0.7)[10.3], 111.1141(1.6)[4.1/5.1]; $C_3H_{10}NOB_2$ 99.1017(3.5)[3.8/3], 98.0945(-0.3)[100], 97.0982(3.4)[48.6/49.3], 96.1030(0.9) [5.3/6.1]; $C_3H_{12}N_2B$ 86.1119(3.3)[0.9/0.4], 85.1060(0.8)[10.7], 84.111(2.3)[2.2/2.6]; $C_4H_{11}NB$ 84.0987(0.2)[17.0], 83.1024(0.2)[4.2/4.2]; C_3H_9NB 71.0865(0.3)[3.3/2.4]; C_3H_9NB 70.0828(0.0)[71.9], 69.0864(0.0)[18.2/17.8].

For 12, infrared spectrum: (neat) 2990w, 2920s, 2850m, 2320m (2340, 2300 sh), 1700s (1650 sh), 1460s, 1372s, 1295s, 1180s (1165m, 1160m sh), 1120m, 1110m 1028m, 1005m, 975m, 925w, 905w, 870w, 840m, 820w, 765w, 740w; mass spectral data: $C_8H_{21}N_2O_2B_2$, p-1 200.1833(1.1)[1.9/1.5], 199.1800(1.1)[16.9], 198.1830 (0.5)[8.0/8.3], 197.1867(0.5)[0.9/1.0]; $C_6H_{19}N_2OB_2$ 158.1710(-0.7)[0.5/0.5], 157.1687(0.3)[8.0], 156.1700(-2.0)[4.4/3.9]; $C_6H_{17}N_2OB_2$ 155.1531(0.4)[10.9], 154.1560(-0.4)[5.5/5.4], 153.1602(0.2)[0.5/0.6]; $C_5H_{14}NO_2B_2$ 143.1244(0.1) [0.3/0.3], 142.1211(0.0)[5.6], 141.1248(0.1)[2.8/2.8]; $C_5H_{14}NO_2B_2$ 113.1140(0.2) [0.3/0.5], 113.1245(-0.4)[8.5], 112.1286(0.0)[1.9/2.1]; $C_4H_{12}NOB_2$ 113.1140(0.2) [0.8/0.7], 112.1107(0.2)[15], 111.1139(-0.2)[8.0/7.4]; $C_3H_{10}NOB_2$ 99.0982(0.0) [3.5/3.3], 98.0952(0.4)[100], 97.0987(0.2)[48.8/49.3], 96.1024(0.3)[5.7/6.1]; $C_4H_{11}NB$ 84.0997(1.2)[12.3], 83.1033(1.2)[3.0/3.0]; C_3H_9NB 71.0873(1.1)[1.8/1.5], 70.0833 (0.5)[46.8], 69.0865(0.1)[11.5/11.6]; C_3H_8N 58.0678(2.1)[51.6];

For 13 infrared spectrum: (neat) 3000w, 2930m, 2850w, 2400m, 2340m (2280 sh). 1475m (several sh), 1405w, 1300w (1310, 1330w sh), 1240s, 1135s, 1165m sh, 1150-1145m doublet, 1120m, 1100m, 1045s, 1005s (975w sh), 925w, 900w, 840w, 790w (815, 770w sh), 735w. Proton NMR for 13: (60 MHz, CDCl₂) POMe [3.78, 3.58 doublet, J(POCH)12 Hz] (3), NMe [2.61, shoulder 2.55] (12), CH₂ 2.0 broad (4); mass spectral data: $C_7H_{22}N_2O_3B_2$, p - 1 236.1597(0.9)[0.9/1.2], 235.1557(0.3) $[15.1], 234.1587(-0.4)[7.6/7.4], 233.1633(0.6)[0.6/0.9]; C_{s}H_{17}NO_{3}PB_{2} 192.1137$ (0.5)[7.0], 191.1172(0.4)[3.7/3.4]; C₄H₁₅NO₃PB₂ 179.1015(0.6)[2.7/2.7], 178.0979 $(0.3)[60.8], 177.1010(-0.2)[32.6/30], 176.1040(-0.9)[4.6/3.6]; C_4H_{13}NO_3PB_2$ 176.0823(0.4)[8.0], 175.0857(0.2)[4.1/3.9]; C₅H₁₄N₂B 114.1287(0.3)[0.9/1.2], 113.1254(0.4)[22.0], 112.1290(0.4)[5.1/5.4], 112.1111(0.6)[13.5], 111.1143(0.2) $[6.7/6.6]; C_4H_{13}NOB 103.1129(0.5)[1.3/1.6], 102.1094(0.4)[35.5], 101.1131(0.4)$ $[9.2/8.8], C_{3}H_{12}NOB_{2} 100.1108(0.3)[25.5], 99.1134(-0.7)[13.3/12.6], 98.1174$ $(-0.4)[1.7/1.6]; C_4H_{11}NOB 100.0935(0.1)[5.3], 99.0970(-0.1)[1.6/1.3]; C_3H_{10}NOB_2$ 98.0950(0.2)[12.6], 97.0978(-0.6)[8.3/6.2]; C₃H₁₁NOB 88.0939(0.5)[11.9], 87.0976 (0.5)[2.8/2.9]; C₃H₁₁NB 72.0993(0.9)[45.4], 71.1029(0.8)[11.0/11.2]; C₃H₉NB 70.0831(0.3)[72.6], 69.0864(0.0)[18.7/17.9]; C₂H₀N 58.0682(2.1)[100].

Acknowledgment

Support of this research in part from a grant from the University of South Dakota Research Fund is appreciated. Assistance from the Colorado State University Regional NMR Center (NSF grant No. CHE-8208821) in obtaining proton NMR data, and the Midwest Center for Mass spectrometry, University of Nebraska/Lincoln, in the obtaining of mass spectral data is most gratefully acknowledged.

References

- 1 N.E. Miller and E.L. Muetterties, Inorg. Chem., 3 (1964) 1196.
- 2 (a) T.H. Hseu and L.A. Larsen, Inorg. Chem., 14 (1976) 336; (b) T.H. Hseu, J. Mol. Struct., 53 (1979) 121.
- 3 (a) N.E. Miller, J. Organomet. Chem., 269 (1984) 123; (b) N.E. Miller, ibid., 137 (1977) 131; (c) N.E. Miller, M.D. Murphy, and D.L. Reznicek, Inorg. Chem., 5 (1966) 1832; (d) N.E. Miller and D.L. Reznicek, ibid., 8 (1969) 275; (e) B.R. Gragg and G.E. Ryschkewitsch, ibid., (1976) 1209.
- 4 N.E. Miller, Inorg. Chem., 16 (1977) 2664.
- 5 N.E. Miller, Stereochemistry of a 2,5-disubstituted 1,4-diazonia-2,5-diboratacyclohexane, Inorg. Chem., in press.
- 6 K.C. Nainan and G.E. Ryschkewitsch, Inorg. Chem., 7 (1968) 1316.
- 7 D.L. Reznicek and N.E. Miller, Inorg. Chem., 11 (1972) 858.
- 8 S. Winstein and N.J. Holness, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 77 (1955) 5562.
- 9 M.J. Magera and N.E. Miller, J. Organomet. Chem., 339 (1988) 231.
- 10 D.D. Perrin, W.L.F. Armarego, and Dawn R. Perrin, Purification of Laboratory Chemicals, Pergamon Press, Oxford, 1980.
- 11 (a) M. Halmann, Spectrochim. Acta, 16 (1960) 407; (b) N.E. Miller, Inorg. Chem., 4 (1965) 1458.
- 12 G.F. Freeguard and L.H. Long, Chem. and Ind. (London), (1965) 471.